Store Conded to

DATE:

February 22, 2012

TO:

Kelley Chase, EPA Region 3 OSC

Cynthia Caporale, EPA Region 3 OASQA

THROUGH:

Ex. 4 - CBI

FROM:

Ex. 4 - CBI

SUBJECT:

VERIFICATION/COMPLETENESS CHECK - DIMOCK, PA LABORATORY DATA

File 1201013 FINAL PART 3 of 3 R33907 02 17 12 1608.pdf

### INTRODUCTION

On February 22, 2012, a review of the case narratives and corresponding certificates of analysis from the EPA R3 (WO 1201013 PART 3 Posted Feb 17)) was conducted at the SERAS facility in accordance with the Follow-Up Verification/Completeness Check agreed upon during our teleconference on Wednesday 2/8/12.

The assumptions for this review include the following: 1) Case narratives from the Regional labs and/or subcontract labs have been reviewed in accordance with Regional or Environmental Services Assessment Team (ESAT) protocols and contain all pertinent and complete information to conduct the completeness check. SERAS will base this review on the information provided by the laboratory and not on an actual data package; and 2) SERAS will relay any "red" flags to the EPA R3 personnel to resolve and determine data usability.

# **OBSERVATIONS**

In accordance with Table 1 – Field and QC Sampling Summary (Rev01 - 2/3/12), Table 2 – Sample Analytical Requirements Summary (Rev01 - 2/3/12), Methods for Groundwater and Surface Water Samples and the R3 SOPs R3QA108-110811 (anions by IC), R3QA163-110811 (oil & grease), R3QA131-080311 (total mercury), Method 365.4 (total phosphorous), Method 353.2 using flow injection (nitrate/nitrite as nitrogen), R3QA105-110811 (total dissolved solids), Method 353.2 using flow injection (total nitrogen) and R3QA106-110311 (total suspended solids), the following observations were noted and need to be clarified/resolved.

File 1201013 FINAL PART 3 of 3 R33907 02 17 12 1608.pdf

1. A comment in the case narrative regarding the nominal Quantitation limit (NQL) for anions does not seem to apply for the chloride (0.500 mg/L), bromide (0.250 mg/L), fluoride (0.100 mg/L) or sulfate (0.500 mg/L) analyses. This comment seems to be for nitrates that were not run by ion chromatography for these samples. Please verify and remove if not applicable.

2. For oil and grease run under Batch BB20602, the standard reference material (SRM) recovery (38%) was outside the QC limits of 66.6 to 113.2 %. The samples associated with this batch (FB01, HW19, HW19-P, FB02, HW04, FB03, HW02, HW012, HW01 and HW05) should be flagged estimated (UJ). This information should also be documented in the case narrative.

SERAS-001-DSR-021512 Dimock 9

DIM0184904 DIM0184904

3. It is assumed that all required instrument QC in the method was run and was within the criteria listed in the EPA R3 SOPs since this information is not available in the laboratory report.

cc:	Ex. 4 - CBI
****	John Gilbert, ERT WAM
	Gary Newhart, ERT WAM
	Ex. 4 - CBI

 $SERAS-001-DSR-021512\_Dimock\_9$ 

DIM0184904 DIM0184905

(2800028 201.7

DATE:

February 16, 2012

TO:

Kelley Chase, EPA Region 3 OSC

Cynthia Caporale, EPA Region 3 OASQA

THROUGH:

Ex. 4 - CBI

FROM:

Ex. 4 - CBI

SUBJECT:

VERIFICATION/COMPLETENESS CHECK - DIMOCK, PA LABORATORY DATA

File 1201013 FINAL PART 2 of 3 R33907 02 11 12 1537.pdf

### INTRODUCTION

On February 15, 2012, a review of the case narratives and corresponding certificates of analysis from the EPA R3 (VOCs, SVOCs and Alcohols Report Posted Feb 13) was reviewed at the SERAS facility in accordance with the Follow-Up Verification/Completeness Check agreed upon during our teleconference on Wednesday 2/8/12.

The assumptions for this review include the following: 1) Case narratives from the Regional labs and/or subcontract labs have been reviewed in accordance with Regional or Environmental Services Assessment Team (ESAT) protocols and contain all pertinent and complete information to conduct the completeness check. SERAS will base this review on the information provided by the laboratory and not on an actual data package; and 2) SERAS will relay any "red" flags to the EPA R3 personnel to resolve and determine data usability.

## **OBSERVATIONS**

In accordance with Table 1 – Field and QC Sampling Summary (Rev01 - 2/3/12), Table 2 – Sample Analytical Requirements Summary (Rev01 – 2/3/12), Methods for Groundwater and Surface Water Samples and the R3 SOPs for SVOCs (R3QA201-090111), VOCs (R#QA210-030410) and alcohols (R3QA203-013012), the following observations were noted and need to be clarified/resolved.

#### File 1201013 FINAL PART 2 of 3 R33907 02 11 12 1537.pdf

- 1. For SVOC analysis, the low level spike recovery for 2,4-dinitrophenol associated with sample FB-01 was 0%. It cannot be determined from the laboratory report if this low level spike is at the LOQ. Since this is a problematic compound, should the "UJ" be changed to unusable "R" for this sample?
- 2. For SVOCs, it appears that flags were assigned to samples based on contaminants found in the corresponding method blanks; however, it appears that samples were not qualified based on contaminants in the corresponding field blanks. The Region needs to decide if this is or should be part of their validation process. For example when using the National Functional Guidelines for Data Review, the samples are first qualified on the basis of the method blank and then the field blank (and in the case of VOCs the trip blank also). This would eliminate most of the "J values reported (>MDL but <RL and the results raised to RL). As an example, the samples prepped on 1/29/12 are associated with B22901 and also FB-02 and FB-03. Many of the contaminants present in the blanks are very similar in concentration to the samples.</p>

SERAS-001-DSR-021612\_Dimock\_3

- For SVOCs prepared on 1/31/12 in B23102, the 2-methoxyethanol recovery for LCS\_BS1 was 0%. Since
  this recovery was 0%, should the samples that were non-detect be reported as unusable "R" instead of "UJ"?
- 4. For VOC analysis, there doesn't appear to be any precision and accuracy data for Freon 113, methylacetate, methyl cyclohexane or MTBE for the LCS or the MS. The Region needs to decide whether these results should be flagged as estimated "J" or a note placed in the case narrative stating that these data are not available for these compounds.
- 5. For the acetone result flagged as "K" on the report table and in the case narrative, should a "J" flag also be entered indicating that this result is an estimated value probably biased high?
- 6. It is assumed that all required instrument QC (RSD, %D, minimum response factors, etc.) specified by the method was run and was within the criteria listed in the EPA R3 SOPs since this information is not available in the laboratory report.

This comment is not associated with this data package but needs to be addressed for future sampling. The trip blanks and field blanks contain a large number of analytes. The source of the DI water may need to be investigated.

cc:	Ex. 4 - CBI
	John Gilbert, ERT WAM
	Gary Newhart, ERT WAM
	Ex. 4 - CBI

SERAS-001-DSR-021612 Dimock 3

DIM0184904 DIM0184907